

Application Serial No. 10/670,579  
Reply to office action of June 24, 2005

PATENT  
Docket: CU-3370

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5 are pending in the present application before this amendment. By the present amendment, claim 2 has been canceled without prejudice, and claim 1 has been amended. Claims 6-8 have been added. No new matter has been added.

In the office action, claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the applicant's admitted prior art (APA). Claims 2 and 5 are indicated as being allowable if they are rewritten in independent form to include all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, all limitations of the allowable claim 2 have been incorporated into the base claim 1.


As to the new claims 6-8, they are also considered to be in condition for allowance for the same reasons for indication of allowable subject matter as stated in the office action, page 4, top (i.e., "the prior art fail to further teach: the plurality of bit line precharge signal drivers are arranged alternately with respect to the plurality of bit line sense amplifier arrays").

For the reasons set forth above, the applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 3-8, now pending in this application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the applicants respectfully request a Notice of Allowance in the next action. This amendment is considered to be responsive to all points raised in the office action. Should the examiner have any remaining questions or concerns, the examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone to expeditiously resolve such concerns.

Application Serial No. 10/670,579  
Reply to office action of June 24, 2005

PATENT  
Docket: CU-3370

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: September 23, 2005

---

W. William Park, Reg. No. 55,523  
Ladas & Parry LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60604  
(312) 427-1300